PPW

JAN 3 1 2005 CALLED THE

PTO/SB/21 (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
	Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s) After Allowance communication to Group
	Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Part under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.5	Remarks
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT		
Firm or Individual name Carl C. Kling		
Signature Canl C. Kling		
Date	01/2	7/2005
,		

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name

Carl C. Kling

Signature

Carl C. Kling

Date 01/27/2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



The Antifed States Patent and Trademark Office

Application of:

Sivarama K. Kuchibhotla, Kanti Jain and Marc A. Klosner

Serial Number

10731,187

Filed:

12/09/2003

Examiner

Henry Hung V. Nguyen

Art Unit

2851

Title :

ILLUMINATION COMPENSATOR FOR CURVED SURFACE

LITHOGRAPHY

To

Commissioner for Patents

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Date:

January 25, 2005

Sir:

In response to Office communication dated 01/05/2005, a six-way restriction without any action on the merits, applicants respectively submit as follows:

A. **Traverse.** Note that this specific traverse, which requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction, preserves right of petition. (See MPEP 1.181).

- B. Provisional election of the invention identified by the examiner as "Invention I." The examiner has specified "Claims 1-9, 12-13, drawn to a projection lithography system, classified in class 355, subclass 67." Applicants request that the examiner modify his definition of Invention I to include also claims 10-11, each of which is also drawn to a projection lithography system. The apparent reasoning is the assumed presence of the "Zerogon" (Claims 10-11, subclass 75) or erroneously-assumed non-presence of the "Zerogon" (Claims 1-9, 12-13, subclass 67) as a reason for selecting either subclass 75 or subclass 67 of class 355. Note that Claim 1 states the inclusion of the Zerogon, as do all of Claims 2-9 and 12-13 through dependence on Claim 1 or positive statement of zerogon as an element in the individual claim.
- C. Request for Reconsideration and Consequent Withdrawal or Modification of Restriction. (17 CFR 1.143) The examiner is requested to reconsider the entire restriction and withdraw the restriction in its entirety either because of error or in the interests of justice and good administration. Remarks will include details of reasoning.

- D. **Discussion of Remarks**. The remarks, which will follow, will distinctly and specifically point out errors in the restriction requirement, and provide reasons why such errors -- plus non-error considerations of justice and good administration within the examiner's discretion -- suggest that the examiner exercise that discretion authority to withdraw, or at least to modify, the restriction. (37 CFR 1.144; see MPEP 1.111)
- E. **Compromise**. Counsel, while traversing and requesting a complete lifting of the restriction, offers -- as a compromise -- a two-way restriction based upon major classification (355 or 430) rather than subclass.
- F. Responsive Remarks. Counsel will respond in detail, paragraph by paragraph with similar numbering, to the Office communication.